

## **Journal of Development and Social Sciences**

### www.jdss.org.pk

#### **RESEARCH PAPER**

# Local Government System in Punjab: A Comparison of LGO 2001, PLGA 2013, and, PLGA 2019

#### Saira Igbal

Lecturer, Department of Political Science, University of Okara, Punjab, Pakistan

\*Corresponding Author iqbalsaira664@gmail.com

#### **ABSTRACT**

The local government system has been considered the most prominent in terms of the provision of service, economic growth, increase in people's participation, focus on people's choices and recommend policies for local individuals. In many countries, the performance of local government at the lowest level satisfies people, but decentralization in Pakistan needs to improve and made more responsible administration. This research aims to analyze the local government system in Punjab with a comparison of the Local Government Ordinance 2001, Punjab Local Government Act 2013, and Punjab Local Government Act 2019. The main objective of this study is to intimate a discussion on capabilities and deficiencies to set up a better execution of further acts/laws in Pakistan. For this purpose, take a look at the local government system in Punjab and compare it by examining what changes will be made by introducing PLGA 2019 after LGO 2001 and PLGA 2013. This article is descriptive and analytical in nature. The secondary source is used for the collection of data. The findings suggest that many changes are made, when comparing PLGA 2019 with LGO 2001 and PLGA 2013, government introduce another level, The Village Panchayat, and Neighborhood Council to take the government doorstep to the people, dissolve District Council and reestablish the Tehsil Council. Moreover, it allows more autonomy and authority to the elected members as compared to previous acts.

### **KEYWORDS** Administration, Decentralization, Devolve Punjab, Finance, Local Government **Introduction**

Local governments are the most fundamental level in modern legislative structure and consequently, nearest to the majority. Accordingly, the Local government system is called majority rule at the basic level. The idea of nation-state frameworks becoming nurseries for the pepping of political pioneers and can support competition among areas. The local government framework carries the public authority near individuals and increases their responsibility. They give an open door to popular participation where individuals can impart their preference to their elected delegate. (Bardhan & Mukherjee, 2006)

Moreover, local government authorities can meet their basic needs in light of the fact that they have better local information and are better positioned to prerequisites to local individuals when contrasted to provincial or public government. The local governments provide new chances to decrease the control of the elite groups in power and redevelop the state for the masses. (Zaidi; 2005) All around local governments can help in accomplishing the objective of contention among various networks living together locally and publicly.

#### **Literature Review**

Bardhan and Mukherjee 2006 describe three distinct sorts of devolution of authority to local government; administrative, monetary and political. Administrative devolution incorporates devolution of all state's capabilities to nearby government frameworks connected with the arrangements of basic administration which can undoubtedly be isolated geologically, the adequate assurance against unnecessary interference by higher legislative in routine local government authorities' issues. Monetary devolution includes the

devolution of financial responsibilities. This incorporates the capacity to raise income through taxes. Political devolution incorporates giving adequate security to local governments against arbitrary excusal and suspension by higher state management avoidance of delegated individuals on local committees and allowing adequate independence to nearby agents to take the local choice. Consequently, the national government does not assign sufficient monetary, regulatory and political powers to the local government system and does not guarantee satisfactory proportionality in the devolution across three kinds of authorities' execution would be weak.

In light of Article 37 of, 1973 the constitution of Pakistan, commits to devolve the public power association to give authority for the public goods, and same as the constitution of Pakistan, Article 32 describes the country enables local authorities made from elective members from the area associated with them. Under Article 10 A of the constitution, it is mentioned by considered law-making bodies to decentralize its affiliation. Besides, Article 140-A of the constitution has changed and merged with the local government ordinance, 2001 it is expected for the provincial government to describe that each domain will direct and layout local government and transfer ministerial, management, and monetary responsibilities and capacity to the chosen representative of the local authority. One of the most prominent revisions laid out i.e., the eighteenth amendment endorses the plan to enact and present a local government structure that is reasonable to their nearby situation. The same concept was recognized in April 2012 by the high court of Pakistan mentioning local to hold elections as upheld by the said constitution of Pakistan. To fulfill this change, the Punjab Local Government Act 2013 was passed by the Provincial Assembly of Punjab which was affected by the Local Government Ordinance 1979. The 2013 regulation was founded on Metropolitan Provincial separation, with a two-layered structure i.e., Union Council, Municipal Corporation, Municipal Committee, and Metropolitan Corporation. The most significant contrast between the two regulations was that the 2013 regulation visualizes local schooling and well-being authorities that give more importance to local levels. This ordinance gave prominent authority to the provincial government and organization. The Punjab Provincial assembly framed the local government structure in 2016.

The primary component of the local government ordinance 2001, esteemed by authorities was the devolution of ability to the essential level and increment of individual investors through the arrangement of bodies. It decreases the age of casting votes to 18 years and the increase saves seats for women, minorities, workers, and laborers. The condensed power of executives was seen as helpful for the improvement of the neighborhood. (LGO, 2001). In light of involvement, many officers expressed that Local Government Ordinance 2001 could not be executed in its actual way. The absence of proficient responsibility and abuse of the monetary assets of Nazims were a portion of the criticism made in 2001. (Anjum, 2001) The predominant control of the central government was brought up as an obstacle to its legitimate execution.

The reason behind comprising local government is to lay out an elected government framework to decline the political, authoritative, and monetary obligations of local authorities, to advance administration, improved management, and straightforward dynamics through the regulated interest of individuals at the local level, and to manage subordinate matters. (Rana, 2008). It was common to discuss local government and decentralize power at the local level and give authority and power to them. Basic necessities such as education and health were controlled by the local individual. Then again, the provincial government authorized these necessities through local prosperity and preparing trained professionals. Appeared differently in relation to the Local Government Ordinance 2001 the seats for females were lessened from 33% to someplace in the scope of 10% and 20% at many stages, which hurdles the depiction of females at local degree. (Aslam, G. & Yilmaz, S., 2011) Agreeing with the individuals at the gathering, the extended impedance of the association under PLGA 2013. One more issue was the shortfall of clear articulation at the basic level which achieved reliable chaos and the moving of liabilities. Centralization of

power was actually capable of commitments and prerequisites of nearby levels. Responsibility and transparency cannot guarantee without a responsible system for individuals to clarify issues, look for data, and register their requests and solicitation inquiries, should a resident. Hence, investigating such means and components in the future arrangement of a degenerated administration is significant. How clear and uncertain is the dissemination of abilities and monetary experts in different regions that help convey public good also request a fair evolution to quantify the level of devolution. (Rana, 2008)

Nonetheless, PLGA 2013 was taken not only in the mind of political parties but practically every one of the recommendations of the whole resistance. The Bill was made and sustained as the resolution of a federal government by the Parliamentary. As opposed to examining the administrating political gathering in the regions rushed to support the bill. Under the situation, it is significantly unimaginable that any regulation made under the said act will utilize according to plan or benefit the person for whom this entire activity is finished. Arrangements, for instance, local government law and political elections will be finished. Then again, holding non-political decisions on non-party guarantees setting territorial wellbeing and tutoring liabilities under provincial government to suspend local pioneers and heads are planned to hold tight the switches of capacities and not surrender any methodology or implicative power. (Hussain, 2004) The public power underscores the subject Demonstration set up arrangements or makes various foundations as may be essential for pre-organization and assist with setting up the functionaries of the local boards and different associations; the readiness of people and executive of nearby committees; figuring out assembly in local government and related subjects, and endeavor research in nearby government and joined subjects independently or collectively with school and investigation foundations. Nevertheless, hardly any resources are either shown or immediately vested because the local government seems an entryway. Obviously, the potential outcomes of a task or work improvement sound discouraging.

In Punjab Local Government Act 2019, great changes were made by direct elections (PLGA, 2019). Most of the members supported direct appointments of mayors. While many were concerned about the absence of information and their regulation, they were confident that more devolution of capacity in the nearby region would further develop administration and conveyance of local legislation. The foundation of the Tehsil council was made and reduced the responsibility at the district level. The gathering was based on the concept of Village Panchayats and the Neighborhood Council. A few members remarked on the political and social institution as a component that might reject the common individual from challenging decisions. Metropolitan bias through the portrayal of competitors from rustic regions was voiced as a worry. Local government officials are confident of a valuable change in local administration is the Punjab Local Government Act 2019. Members expressed that elected agents will be better prepared to determine issues predicted for the effective execution of the Punjab Local Government Act 2019 by the respondent's human assets and they suggested limiting the working of local states. The absence of adequate assets for foundation improvement and the larger area of obligation regarding Mayors were brought up as possible entanglements for the Punjab Local Government Act 2019. (PLGA, 2019)

#### **Material and Methods**

The research is based on a qualitative method, using the case study of Punjab to explain the comparison of LGO 2001, PLGA 2013, and PLGA 2019. The study is descriptive and analytical in nature. A secondary source of data is used for this study. Many articles, published documents, reports, books, newspapers, Local government Ordinances/acts of Punjab, and implemented policies have been reviewed. The study has examined the structural changes made in PLGA 2019 and compared it to previous acts i.e., LGO 2001 and PLGA 2013.

#### **Results and Discussions**

Subsequent examination of the three undertakings at neighborhood government changes in LGO 2001, PLGA 2013, and PLGA 2019. These were apparently, not by any means the only endeavors to change the local authority yet they are more important as they achieved mandates or guidelines that one can dissect and look at. The examination will be driven by the accompanying regions; administration structure, monetary powers, and marginated gatherings (ladies, youth, and minorities).

#### **Administrative Structure**

The order of authoritative structure managing the area changed across the three managerial instruments, i.e., LGO 2001, PLGA 2013, and, PLGA 2019. Comparatively, the LGO 2001 didn't portray the local area into two classes (rural and urban), rather than PLGA 2013 and PLGA 2019. Rather, it describes the local government into four levels, especially unions, tehsil, towns, and districts. Both PLGA 2013 and PLGA 2019 differentiate the local authority working along an urban-rural gap which is vanishing as Punjab is moving to urban areas at a high speed. The PLGA 2013 gathered the metropolitan and municipal cooperation that contained wards. A comparison of PLGA 2019 gathering the metropolitan cooperation, municipal committees, and town councils, leaving from tehsil under the Punjab Land Revenue Act, 1967. Then again, in LGO 2001 and PLGA 2013. Regardless, it doesn't offer a management level at the town level, for example, Panchayats and Metropolitan regions as town boards, similar to one introduced by Musharraf LGO 2001. This region is not completely addressed by PLGA 2019. They rely upon Punjab villages and Panchayat and Neighborhood council 2019. The Panchayat and committees are obligated for managing metropolitan issues, for instance, clinical benefits, social government assistance, water, and sewerage.

Be that as it may, the managerial power given to the local government by each of the three legitimate instruments has overall remained confined and hamstrung by the commonplace powers. Thus, LGO 2001 lapsed the power authoritatively if one thinks about the three guidelines. In a couple of locales, be that as it may, PGLA 2013 or PLGA 2019 are improved. The LGO 2001 and PLGA 2013 the management authority connecting with the local government, for instance, preparing social government help, and clinical benefits, stayed collected at the regional level. Under LGO 2001, association power for organization of preparation, sports, people, government help, environment, and so on was declined to the area authority of that region. Similarly, PLGA 2013 likewise gave this position to the local level. Truly, for tutoring and clinical benefits, the PLGA 2013 proposes to spread out an alternate locale of preparing and clinical consideration in a specialist for each region, thus eliminating power from the area organization. The PLGA 2019 has decentralized administrative capacities to the most diminished level. All of the metropolitan locales designated as Metropolitan/Civil Companies, City Boards or Town Panels, and Town district delegated Tehsil committees to have key administrative capacities connecting with the financial turn of events, tutoring, clinical consideration, and waste assortment, among various different commitments, are bored down in third, fourth, fifth timetable in the demonstration.

#### **Financial Power**

The constraint of local government to practice export in the money-related issues has commonly stayed limited and ward upon the arrival of resources and reimbursements by the local specialists under every one of the three legitimate instruments, neighborhood councils have been given by the Provision Finance Commission. The PLGA 2019 sets a base edge for monetary resources that ought to be moved to the local lawmaking bodies by the provincial power. It embraces a trade of something like 26% to 28% of full-scale pay receipts of the domain. Of this, the Panchayats and Neighborhood chambers are to be dispensed with nearly approximately 10% of the local government's allowance. Additionally, honors

preferably of tax and Zila charge are viewed as associated with this offer, unlike the PLGA 2013 and LGO 2001 when it was stayed away from. Extraordinary packages and grants for the neighborhood state-show organizations to the common government, regardless, remain the primary division allocated in all of the three guidelines.

Be that as it may, then again, the PLGA 2019 has endeavored to redesign the restriction of the nearby position to run organizations to deliver pay. Furthermore, the taxes keep on pay, fines, grants, and monies obtained from communal capacity, which was seen as a medium for local government under LGO 2001 and PLGA 2013, and PLGA 2019 analysis. (Mustafa, 2019) This payment is credited to the local save, another ordinary component of the general aggregate of three guidelines. The local government under three guidelines has been supposed to keep a neighborhood safe, where pay from all specified origins is attached. Moreover, local authorities have been supposed to keep a freely available report, staying public resources, and the PLGA 2019, where pay expressly from the assembled gains and return, refundable stores are to be credited. The PLGA 2019 attained monetary security at the basic level by allowing them to spread out a sinking resource under section 122. They have surrendered the ability to repay any money procured under the shown framework. Moreover, in the PLGA 2019, the local government has surrendered the capacity to spread out permitted uncommon resources for another explanation if a need arises, subject to the support of the common council clearly. Concerning the use of the local resources saved by the local government to meet utilization, its common part is looked at by all of the three regulative instruments. When the election for a new local government is held and allowed to be outperformed by more than eight percent by month of arranged resources for the rest of its term in office in that year. This arrangement has remained unaltered.

#### Marginalized groups; Women, Youth, and Minorities

From the LGO 2001 to PLGA 2019, the depiction permitted to the limited groups, and ladies explicitly varied. Under the Musharraf LGO 2001, ladies were allocated 33% of held seats, and the most in number. Then again, in both PLGA 2013 and PLGA 2019, nonetheless, ladies participated in portrayal under LGO 2001. Section 37 of LGO 2001, unequivocally met with women with a depiction of 33% at each level. At the town committee and Neighborhood board level too, one seat was put aside for ladies of out five to eleven seats. Relatively, PLGA 2013 diminished ladies' portrayal at all degrees of local government to under 15% at each level. An assessment at the union board level breaks down the impact of every dynamic guideline on the political depiction of bodies. Under LGO 2001, a union council offered thirteen seats of which four were put aside for ladies, two seats held for female Muslims, and two for laborers. In the PLGA 2013, this lady's depiction was separated. A union committee was offered twelve seats out of which two were put something aside for ladies, while under PLGA 2019, women's seats have been influenced more than ever. Disregarding the way that ladies' depiction seats have been lessened under PLGA, 2019 has introduced an arrangement of relative portrayal through a discrete electorate. At present, ladies hold elections in safe seats along with in general seats.

The portrayal is likewise allowed to minimize gatherings, especially youth. Simply the PLGA 2013 offered seats for youth. Neither the LGO 2001 nor PLGA 2019 offered any seats. As matter of fact, the entire text of the two guidelines is without the word youth except for an immaterial notification in article 37 of LGO 2001. Under PLGA 2013, youth were yielded with saved seats. One seat is reserved for the youth. Adolescents can in any case complete onboard situations accepting that they wish. There is no standard for tolerating their help on those general seats. Notwithstanding, the typical age for challenging decisions has been raised from 21 years to 25 years. Section 109 of the demonstration is about the certified opportunities for holding the working environment of the head of the local government. Thus, PLGA 2019 has incapacitated the chance of legislative issues for the youth, and in any event, when the country is defied with an energetic bump and requires it more.

Furthermore, the seats for minorities at the basic tier have differentiated in various levels, while estimating including the saved seat circulation as matric. LGO 2001 minorities seats were reserved along all tiers of local government. At the Zila council, the individual from the minority was comparable to 5% of the hard and fast number of associations in the district. Relatively, at the Tehsil and Town board, a five-minority portrayal of amounts of associations in the Tehsil was allowed. In every union council of the 13 seats, one was held for minority boards of trustees. Under PLGA 2013 cooked unequivocally to severe minorities.

The PLGA 2019, further differentiated the political seat for minorities through a reduction in saved seats. It introduced a corresponding portrayal through a free electorate, reducing held spots, and opening space for elected members.

#### **Conclusion and Further Recommendation**

Keeping in view the above-detailed analysis, government authorities that are given to the local government under all regulations have been restricted, moreover, the way that PLGA presents a more prominent degree of freedom. Under the LGO 2001 and PLGA 2013, it was subject to the support of the provincial government, while under PLGA 2019 it is reliant upon the heads of local government and committees which could excuse a 66% approximately. PLGA 2019 also ensures the local autonomy of the administration and financial level and reduces the provincial government as compared to LGO 2001 and PLGA 2013. It also permits authorities to marginalized groups by reserving their seats at local levels. But still, there is a need for some changes in the system. The following recommendation will be given to the local government for future reforms.

The following recommendation will be given to the local government for future reforms. Local governments ought to be permitted to finish their ordered tenure to balance out the democratic system. The local government should fix its tenure similar to the period of Federal and Provincial government for the improvement of the local community and give chances to new individuals and serve in a better way. A participatory methodology that guarantees the due contribution of all stakeholders in the strategy development and implementation process of local government regulations, be empowered to reinforce local administration, better management ought should be led with the assistance of civil society and the scholarly communities, to notify the populace and local management by remembering them for the preparation and execution periods of regulations. Capacity building is vital for the fruitful execution of PLGA 2019. Thorough Professional training might be directed to inform local individual officials about the PLGA 2019 preceding its becoming functional. The devolution of administration to the town council level ought to be executed solely after the town's units have the vital logical and organizational ability to release their obligations. Without a clear professional way for each framework of the local government organization, staff inspiration will stay low. Unambiguous sets of jobs, featuring the division of obligation between elected authorities and various units of organizations be set down before the execution cycle to limit conflict, postponements, ineffectualness, and failure, the monetary and authoritative oversight by local government ought to be legitimized and local government should be disclosed more command on store dispensing for local advancement plans. A district-tier civil servant helped the framework to lay out to limit the obstruction of provincial organizations in matters of local government. Capacity building of members of marginalized groups given seats under PLGA 2019 might be arranged as it is essential for their adequacy and resulting elevating of the following groups. The decrease in held reserved groups for underrepresented quotes is evaluated and expands the degree of LGO 2001 to accomplish overall management at the basic degree. Women, youth, and minorities are given clear portfolios and distributed assets to guarantee their significant interest and commitment to the local administration. Despite the fact that it needs change in the regulations, the expansion in the average age limit for cooperation in elections and choices of the name Panchayats for the most reduced level might be evaluated and canceled. Local government authorities are prepared before innovation coordination into the local taxpayer-supportive initiative is completed.

#### References

- Anjum, Z. H. (2001). New Local Government System: A Step Towards Community Empowerment? *The Pakistan Development Review*, vol. 40, issue 4, 845-867.
- Aslam, G. & Yilmaz, S. (2011). Impact of Decentralization Reforms in Pakistan on Service Delivery: An Empirical Analysis. *Public Administration and Development*, 31, 159-171.
- Bardhan & Mookherjee. (2006, January). The Rise of Local Governments: An Overview. *Cambridge MA: The MIT Press*.
- Hussain, S. A. (2004). *Development of Local Government in the Punjab: A Critical assessment.* Lahore: Beacon Books
- Local Government Ordinance. (2001). Retrieved from lgcd.punjab.gov.pk: http://lgcd.punjab.gov.pk
- Mustafa, S. M. (2019). The Fiscal Situation of Local Government in Pakistan-The Case of Punjab. *Local Governance and Community Development in Pakistan*.
- *Punjab Local Government Act.* (2013). Retrieved from lgcd.punjab.gov.pk: http://lgcd.punjab.gov.pk
- Punjab Local Government Act. (2019). Retrieved from lgcd.punjab.gov.pk: http://lgcd.punjab.gov.pk
- Rana, M. A. (2008). Assessment of Local Government System in Punjab: Policy Recommendations for Reform. Lahore: Institute of Public Policy, Beaconhouse National University.
- Zaidi, S. A. (2005). The Political Economy of Decentralization in Pakistan. *Development Study Group, Zurich*, Working Paper No. 1.