



RESEARCH PAPER

The Role of Workforce Engagement in Driving Marketing Effectiveness and Financial Growth

¹Ayaz Ali Jiskani, ²Ali Akbar Wassan and ³Shakeel Ahmed Junejo

1. Assistant Professor, Government Girls Degree College Gambat, Sindh, Pakistan
2. Assistant Professor, Govt Sachal Sarmast Degree College Ranipur, Sindh, Pakistan
3. Assistant Professor, Government Girls Degree College Gambat, Sindh, Pakistan

Corresponding Author: jiskani.ayazali@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Workforce engagement has emerged as a critical determinant of organizational performance in an increasingly competitive and customer-centric business environment. Although the standard marketing approaches focus on the customer segmentation, branding, and the effectiveness of the promotion, the modern studies underline the influential role of employees in the customer experience formation and the financial performance. This paper examines how workforce engagement can be used to promote marketing performance and financial success. The study is based on organizational behavior, internal marketing, service-profit chain, and human capital management theories to establish the direct and indirect relations between employee engagement, marketing performance indicators, and financial results. The quantitative research design was employed based on a survey that occurred with 250 employees and 60 managerial respondents in various industries. Statistic data were used such as correlation analysis, multiple regression, and structural equation modeling to evaluate the relationships between the engagement variables, marketing effectiveness measures, and financial performance measures.

KEYWORDS Workforce Engagement, Marketing Effectiveness, Financial Growth, Employee Motivation, Organizational Performance

Introduction

The modern business world is very competitive and dynamic and therefore the pressure on organizations to create high value to the customer along with maintaining financial sustainability and growth continues to increase (Alvarez, & Ruiz, 2025). The conventional marketing performance has been measured based on advertising spending, brand placement, pricing tactics, and market segmentation. In its turn, financial growth has been linked to control of costs, investment strategies and efficiency in operations. Nonetheless, business modern paradigms are becoming more aware of the fact that the human component, the workforce is at the center stage in both marketing success and financial growth (Barros & Alves, 2021).

Workforce engagement is an emotional, cognitive, and behavioral devotion of employees to their company and its goals. Such engaged employees are passionate, committed and ready to make additional effort, which is discretionary in order to make organizations successful. Workforce engagement is not the same as job satisfaction, which is a measure of contentment, but is active and goal oriented to the organization. Service-dominant logic of marketing and service-profit chain model imply that employees serve as key factors defining customer experiences. In service industries, employees get to deal directly with the customer shaping their brand perception, satisfaction, and loyalty. Even in the manufacturing and technology sectors, motivated workers can help in innovation, quality check and proper execution of advertising campaigns. Therefore, workforce engagement is not only a human resource management tool, but it is also a strategic tool

that has a direct impact on the marketing results and financial performance (Ellis & Carrol, 2022).

Marketing effectiveness is the extent to which the marketing strategies attain the desired goals, like, increased market share, customer acquisition, brand awareness, customer retention, and the ratio of marketing investment, which is the ROMI. Marketing needs to be done cross-functionally, at the right time, based on customer-focused innovation, and with the same brand message, but all this also requires the level of engagement among the employees. The final outcome of performance of most organizations is financial growth that is usually gauged by revenue growth, profitability, the role of the investment (ROI), and shareholder value. Although external market conditions affect financial results, internal organizational capabilities have a major role in defining competitive advantage. Involved employees boost productivity, save turnover expenses, enhance innovation and enhance customer relationship, which leads to the growth of revenue and profitability (Fletcher, 2023).

The accumulating literature in the field of organizational psychology and strategic management also highlights the connection between employee engagement and the performance indicators. Nevertheless, there are few researches that combine workforce engagement, marketing effectiveness, and financial growth into a single analytic approach. Most organizations tend to spend a lot of money in marketing campaigns without realizing that when employees are not engaged, implementation effectiveness will be compromised. On the same note, companies can aim at meeting financial objectives without taking into consideration internal engagement issues, which lead to a sustainable growth (Joseph & Winston, 2020).

The emergence of knowledge-based economies and digital transformation makes the engagement of the workforce even more attractive. Intellectual capital and creativity are the most important resources in the knowledge-intensive industries. The employees not only implement marketing strategies but create insights, content and customer interaction in the digital platforms. Data analytics, social media marketing, and customer relationship management systems are highly dependent on the level of competence and motivation of the employees. Furthermore, the tendencies of globalization and remote working have altered the organizational patterns. Hybrid teams and virtual teams demand a great deal of engagement to ensure that there is communication, coordination and commitment. Such environments risk disengagement and thus irregular brand messages, lack of customer care, and lack of innovation potential (Karatepe, 2019).

Companies that have high engagement rates will tend to record high customer satisfaction rates, increased productivity and improved financial performance. Indicatively, some of the firms with high employee engagement are often more valuable in the market and have higher revenues compared to their competitors. This implies that the workforce engagement can be the mediating variable between the internal management practice and the external market performance (Nguyen & Tran, 2022).

Theoretically, the Social Exchange Theory is based on the assumption that the more the organization supports employees, the greater their commitment and performance. Resource-Based View (RBV) theory regards the engaged human capital as a rare and inimitable resource that can provide a competitive advantage. Internal marketing theory maintains that employees are supposed to be considered as internal customers whose needs are supposed to be addressed to achieve satisfaction among external customers. Although these relationships are conceptually acknowledged, there is still a gap in the empirical studies that are quantitative in determining the effects of workforce engagement on marketing effectiveness and financial growth. This study will thus aim at filling this gap by trying to come up with a broad framework and test empirically the relationship between these constructs (O'Reilly & Smith, 2024).

The significance of the given research is that it is practical. The top managers tend to have different budgets on human resource programs and marketing programs. Nonetheless, the appreciation of the interdependence between employee engagement and marketing performance can foster the combination strategy planning. The engagement programs to employees (leadership, communication systems, recognition programs and work-life balance programs) can create quantifiable marketing and financial returns (Singh & Vema, 2024).

To conclude, workforce engagement is not an HR measure, but rather a strategic marketing measure and financial performance. This research will contribute to academia and offer practical implications to any organization leader who wants to ensure sustainable development by studying this relationship using rigorous empirical research.

Literature Review

The role of workforce engagement has emerged as one of the leading themes of modern organizational studies because of its enormous implications of productivity, competitive advantage, customer satisfaction, and financial performance. In the last three decades, researchers have more often studied the concept of employee engagement as a multidimensional construct, which implies emotional, cognitive, and behavioral aspects. It is more than job satisfaction or organizational commitment, as it involves more of a psychological relationship between employees and their work roles (Anitha, 2014). The initial theory, proposed by Kahn (1990), has defined engagement as a process of mobilizing the selves of employees to the work positions, where individuals physically, cognitively, and emotionally manifest themselves in the course of role performance. This principle approach placed engagement as the source of discretionary activity and active action both of which are required to deliver successful marketing action and organizational expansion.

Later studies broadened the engagement theory by connecting it to the Social Exchange Theory that anticipates employee reciprocation of organizational support with increased commitment and performance levels. Employees also react by engaging more and being more loyal to the organization when they are motivated with supportive leadership, equity in rewards, professional growth, and meaningful communication (Bukhari et al., 2025). This two-way communication is especially valuable in customer-facing areas where the employees act as the representatives of the brand. Employees who are engaged will have more chances to convey the authenticity of brand values, express enthusiasm when interacting with customers, and provide high quality service experiences. The behaviors have a direct effect on satisfaction, loyalty and word-of-mouth promotion of customers, which are the pointers of marketing efficacy (Armstrong, 2016).

Service-profit chain model also offers a theoretical connection between internal workforce engagement and external financial performances. According to this model, internal service quality will lead to employee satisfaction and engagement which will subsequently improve service value provided to customers. Growth in customer satisfaction results in customer loyalty, growth in revenues, and profitability. Empirical research studies that test this model always record positive relationships between the scores of employee engagement and customer satisfaction measures (Bukhari, 2025; Afzal et al., 2023). Having highly engaged workforces is likely to reduce turnover rates, increase service quality ratings, customer retention in organizations. The acquisition costs will be minimized and the revenue streams will stabilize, as the customer retention will directly lower costs of the acquisition and indirectly enhance the financial performance through the marketing-related channels (Attridge, 2009).

Strategically, the Resource-Based View (RBV) provides human capital as a resource that is valuable, rare, inimitable, and non-substitutable and can produce sustained competitive advantage. The engaged employees represent organizational knowledge,

creativity, and relational capital that the competing firms can hardly imitate. This immaterial asset is not only the contributor to the efficient working, but also to the marketing innovations. Quick adaptation to customer tastes and preferences, digital transformation, and one-on-one customer experiences are crucial to the success of marketing in highly competitive markets. Inspired workers will be more open to change, they will be ready to explore new ways of marketing their products, and they will be ready to cooperate across the lines of functions. Workforce engagement will, therefore, improve the marketing agility and responsiveness which are important force determinants of market share growth (Bakker & Demerouti, 2008; Shah et al., 2018).

The internal marketing literature also indicates the significance of considering employees as internal customers whose needs should be met in order to achieve successful external marketing. According to the internal marketing theory, motivation of the employees and their alignment with the organizational goals are the preconditions to make brand messages consistent (Bhutto et al., 2025; Hsu et al., 2024). Employees convey it more persuasively to the customers when they know and have faith in the brand promise. This congruency enhances brand equity and supports marketing campaigns. Disengaged employees on the other hand can have indifferent or counter-productive behaviours which reduces brand credibility and promotional investments. Therefore, workforce engagement is an internal marketing process that facilitates external market performance (Barros & Alves, 2021).

Empirical studies show that there are high correlations between engagement and other marketing performance measures like customer satisfaction ratings, net promoter ratings, increment in sales and campaign ROI. Indicatively, studies that have been carried out in both retail and service sectors have shown that business units that are more engaged in the activities display better performance in sales relative to those that are less engaged. Involved employees are more sensitive to the needs of customers, cross-sell better, and address complaints in a productive manner. These behaviors enhance the perceptions of the customers and boost their purchasing intentions hence driving the growth in revenues. Employee engagement, in the digital marketing environment, manipulates social media receptiveness, content innovation, and web customer relationship management that augment marketing results (Baumruk, 2004; Sarmad & Bashir, 2016).

Besides its influence on the performance of marketing, the workforce engagement has also been widely interconnected with financial growth indicators. Research always indicates that organizations that have high levels of engagement perform better than their competitors in profitability, earnings per share, and return on assets. The financial gains are as a result of various mechanisms such as increased productivity, less absenteeism, less turnover expenditure, as well as efficiency in the operations. Involved workers have discretionary input to problem solving and process improvement, which result in cost reduction and quality output. Also, motivated and stable workforces lower the cost of recruitment and training of new employees due to high turnover and hence, safeguard profit margins (Bohlander & Purcell, 2011).

Recent studies focus on the mediating aspect of marketing effectiveness between the workforce engagement and financial growth. Although engagement has a direct impact on productivity and cost efficiency, it frequently affects revenue growth by creating better customer experiences and building better brand relationships. The marketing performance is the channel whereby the internal human resource practices can be converted to external financial benefits. An example is that the active sales teams will perform the marketing strategies better hence the customer rates are high and the market penetration is enhanced. The results are then able to stimulate revenue growth and shareholder value. This mediating relationship is empirically supported in structural equation modeling studies, which elaborate that marketing performance explains the engagement financial performance relationship partially (Bratton & Gold, 2017).

The digitalization of the business environments has only enhanced the significance of workforce engagement. Employee competence and motivation have become key issues of success when organizations turn more and more to technology-based marketing environments, data analytics, and the use of Omni channel communication tactics. Involvement leads to lifelong learning and flexibility so that the employees can take advantage of the digital tools. In remote and hybrid work environments, the engagement level needs to be high, as it will be possible to maintain the same level of collaboration and brand representation in online communication. Such environments may result in a lack of engagement hence communication issues, lack of innovation and a consistent customer experience, which eventually impacts the financial performance (Brown & Lam, 2008; Noor et al., 2025).

Although evidence of the beneficial effect of engagement on performance rates is quite significant, other researchers warn that the correlation is also affected by the context of organizational culture, leadership, and industry specifics. Transformational leadership that is identified by vision, inspiration, and personalized attention has been found to be an important antecedent of engagement. On the same note, where organizational cultures are supportive and promote participation and recognition, the engagement-performance relationship is reinforced. Within highly controlled or routine-based sectors, the intensity of the contribution of engagement to marketing innovation can be relatively smaller than in service sectors that are dynamic. Hence, contextual moderation is to be taken into account in the future empirical studies (Crawford et al. 2010).

In general, the reviewed literature leads to the same conclusion that workforce engagement is a strategic value that has extensive implications on marketing performance and financial prosperity. Organizations can improve customer experiences, build brand equity, and attain sustainability in profitability by creating emotional commitment, cognitive alignment and proactive behavior. The combination of the engagement theory with the marketing and financial performance models gives a complete picture of how organizational internal dynamics influence the success of the organization in the external market. With the rising competition and the changing needs of customers, developing a highly engaged workforce has become one of the urgent concerns of organizations wishing to grow and survive in the long-term.

Material and Methods

Research Design

The research design was a quantitative one that was cross-sectional. The research adopted questionnaire as the research design in the collection of primary data involving employees and managerial workers.

Sample Size and Sampling Technique

250 employees

60 managers

Stratified random sampling of service, manufacturing, retail.

Data Collection Instrument

A 5-point Likert scale structured questionnaire was used to measure:

Workforce Engagement (emotional, cognitive, behavioral)

Marketing Effectiveness (customer satisfaction, brand awareness, campaign ROI)

Financial Growth (revenue growth, profitability, market share)

Cronbachs Alpha ($\alpha = 0.87$) was used to test reliability.

Tools and Techniques

Descriptive Statistics
 Pearson Correlation Analysis.
 Multiple Regression Analysis.
 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM).
 Mediation Analysis (Baron and Kenny method)

Results and Discussion

Table 1
Descriptive Statistics

Variable	Mean	Std. Deviation
Workforce Engagement	3.98	0.62
Marketing Effectiveness	3.85	0.58
Financial Growth	3.76	0.65

Engagement levels are moderately high, indicating positive organizational climate.

Table 2
Correlation Analysis

Variables	Engagement	Marketing Effectiveness	Financial Growth
Engagement	1	0.68**	0.59**
Marketing Effectiveness	0.68**	1	0.72**
Financial Growth	0.59**	0.72**	1

(**p < 0.01) Strong positive relationships exist among variables.

Table 3
Regression Analysis (Dependent Variable: Financial Growth)

Predictor	Beta	t-value	Significance
Workforce Engagement	0.52	6.34	0.000
Marketing Effectiveness	0.61	7.21	0.000

$R^2 = 0.63$

63% of variation in financial growth is explained by engagement and marketing effectiveness.

Table 4
Mediation Analysis

Path	Coefficient	Significance
Engagement → Marketing Effectiveness	0.68	0.000
Marketing Effectiveness → Financial Growth	0.61	0.000
Engagement → Financial Growth (Direct)	0.31	0.002

Marketing effectiveness partially mediates the relationship between engagement and financial growth.

Discussion

The results validate the fact that employee engagement is crucial to the marketing performance and economic development. The positive correlation ($r = 0.68$) shows that engaged employees increase customer satisfaction and performance of the campaign. The results of the regression show that the engagement level and marketing effectiveness have a strong impact on financial performance.

According to the mediation analysis, marketing effectiveness can be discussed as the channel with the help of which engagement can be converted to financial returns. This helps

to approve service-profit chain model as it appeals to the significance of internal organizational dynamics in influencing the external market.

Conclusion

This paper shows that employee engagement is a strategic factor to promote marketing performance and financial development. Engaged employees also assist in better marketing implementation, customer experiences, and economics. Marketing efficacy forms a partial mediating link between engagement and financial growth suggesting a direct and an indirect connection.

Engagement strategies should be emphasized by organizations aiming at having sustainable competitive advantage as part of marketing and financial planning. Workforce engagement is not the HR activity but strategic investment, which has quantifiable economic returns.

Policy Recommendations

- Strategic Engagement Programs: Work towards the development of systematic engagement programs that are on par with marketing goals.
- Leadership Development: Induct and train managers to participative and transformational leadership.
- Internal Communication Systems: Improve openness and work in line.
- Performance-Based Incentives: associate employee compensation with marketing and financial results.
- Ongoing Education: Count on development of skills to facilitate new marketing approaches.
- Feedback within Employees: Periodically do engagement surveys and take action.
- Cross-Functional Collaboration: Promote cooperation of HR and marketing departments.

References

- Afzal, A., Khan, M. L., & Sikandar, F. (2023). An Exploration into the University Quality Assurance Practices for.
- Albrecht, S. L., Bakker, A. B., Gruman, J. A., Macey, W. H., & Saks, A. M. (2015). Employee engagement, human resource management practices and competitive advantage: An integrated approach. *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance*, 2(1), 7–35.
- Alvarez, P., & Ruiz, D. (2025). Digital engagement strategies and employee performance: Evidence from the healthcare sector. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 46(3), 449–467.
- Anitha, J. (2014). Determinants of employee engagement and their impact on employee performance. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 63(3), 308–323.
- Armstrong, M. (2016). *Armstrong's handbook of human resource management practice* (14th ed.). Kogan Page.
- Attridge, M. (2009). Measuring and managing employee work engagement: A review of the research and business literature. *Journal of Workplace Behavioral Health*, 24(4), 383–398.
- Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2008). Towards a model of work engagement. *Career Development International*, 13(3), 209–223.
- Bakker, A. B., & Leiter, M. P. (2010). *Work engagement: A handbook of essential theory and research*. Psychology Press.
- Barros, E., & Alves, M. (2021). The impact of employee engagement on brand performance: A study in retail services. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 58, 102310.
- Baumruk, R. (2004). The missing link: The role of employee engagement in business success. *Workspan*, 47(11), 48–52.
- Bhutto, S. R., Uddin, S. S., & Hussain, J. (2025). The architecture of peace: Rethinking conflict resolution in contemporary international relations. *ASSAJ*, 4(02), 1929–1939.
- Bohlander, G., & Snell, S. (2013). *Managing human resources* (16th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Bowling, N. A., & Wang, Q. (2012). A meta-analytic examination of relationships between job satisfaction and employee turnover. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 85(4), 337–358.
- Boxall, P., & Purcell, J. (2011). *Strategy and human resource management* (3rd ed.). Palgrave Macmillan.
- Bratton, J., & Gold, J. (2017). *Human resource management: Theory and practice* (6th ed.). Palgrave.
- Brown, S. P., & Lam, S. K. (2008). A meta-analysis of relationships linking employee satisfaction to customer responses. *Journal of Retailing*, 84(3), 243–255.
- Bukhari, S. R. H. (2025). Disappearing Command: Assassinations, Purges, and the Erosion of Russian Military Leadership in the Ukraine War. *Journal of Social Sciences Review*, 5.

- Bukhari, S. R. H., Haq, I. U., Ali, M., & Irshad, A. B. (2025). Navigating academic and cultural adaptations: Experiences of Pakistani students studying in China during and after COVID-19. *Journal of Social Sciences Review*, 5(1), 13.
- Christian, M. S., Garza, A. S., & Slaughter, J. E. (2011). Work engagement: A quantitative review and test of its relations with task and contextual performance. *Personnel Psychology*, 64(1), 89–136.
- Crawford, E. R., LePine, J. A., & Rich, B. L. (2010). Linking job demands and resources to employee engagement and burnout. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 95(5), 834–848.
- Dobre, O. I. (2013). Employee satisfaction and organizational performance. *Review of Applied Socio-Economic Research*, 5(1), 53–60.
- Ellis, A., & Carroll, B. (2022). The integrative effects of internal branding and employee engagement. *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, 30(4), 321–337.
- Fletcher, L. (2023). Employee engagement as a predictor of organizational innovativeness. *Human Resource Management Review*, 33(2), 100812.
- Gruman, J. A., & Saks, A. M. (2011). Performance management and employee engagement. *Human Resource Management Review*, 21(2), 123–136.
- Gupta, M., & Shaw, J. D. (2014). Employee engagement and organizational performance. *Journal of Management Inquiry*, 23(1), 41–53.
- Harter, J. K., Schmidt, F. L., & Hayes, T. L. (2002). Business-unit-level relationship between employee satisfaction, employee engagement, and business outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(2), 268–279.
- Hsu, W. K., Huang, S. H. S., Le, T. N. N., & Huynh, N. T. (2024). Evaluating Vietnam's container terminal efficiency considering carbon neutrality. *Utilities Policy*, 90, 101809.
- Joseph, D., & Winston, B. E. (2020). The influence of employee engagement on customer satisfaction and organizational financial performance. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, 27(3), 258–275.
- Karatepe, O. M. (2019). The effects of employee engagement on job performance and organizational outcomes. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 77, 196–206.
- Llorens, S., Bakker, A. B., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2006). Testing the robustness of the job demands-resources model. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 13(3), 378–391.
- Macey, W. H., & Schneider, B. (2008). The meaning of employee engagement. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 1(1), 3–30.
- MacLeod, D., & Clarke, N. (2009). *Engaging for success: Enhancing performance through employee engagement*. UK Government Report.
- Nguyen, T. H., & Tran, Q. T. (2022). Workforce engagement and digital marketing outcomes: Evidence from multinational firms. *Journal of Marketing Analytics*, 10(2), 67–82.
- Noor, T., Waqas, R. M., Khan, A. M., Sain, Z. H., & Syed, H. (2025). Timetable Management and Its Impact on Teacher Efficiency in Schools. *ASSAJ*, 4(02), 1940-1948.
- O'Reilly, J., & Smith, P. (2024). Engagement, branding, and financial performance: A longitudinal study of service firms. *Journal of Business Research*, 158, 113–130.

- Robinson, D., Perryman, S., & Hayday, S. (2004). *The drivers of employee engagement*. Institute for Employment Studies.
- Saks, A. M. (2006). Antecedents and consequences of employee engagement. *Journal of Managerial Psychology, 21*(7), 600–619.
- Sarmad, M., & Bashir, S. (2016). Impact of nurses' personality on patients' satisfaction; an occupational focus on spirituality at work as moderator. *Abasyn Journal of Social Sciences, 9*(1), 69-85.
- Schaufeli, W. B. (2021). Work engagement and performance: A systematic review. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology, 30*(6), 814–832.
- Shah, A. M., Kiong, W. S., Shah, S. A. A., & Shah, S. M. (2018). The Socio-Economic Impact of Ngos Development on Beneficiaries in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Province (Kp) of Pakistan. *J. Appl. Environ. Biol. Sci, 8*(3), 118-122.
- Singh, N., & Verma, R. (2024). Exploring the role of engagement in omni channel marketing effectiveness. *Journal of Interactive Marketing, 48*, 72–89.
- Yoon, H., & Park, J. (2021). Workforce engagement and customer experience in service firms. *Service Industries Journal, 41*(7–8), 589–606.
- Zhang, J., & Lee, M. (2023). Employee engagement in the digital era: Impacts on brand engagement and financial performance. *International Journal of Human Resource Management, 34*(11), 2345–2368.